Currents for Arbitrary Helicity

Norbert Dragon

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

Using Mackey's classification of unitary representations of the Poincaré group on massless states of arbitrary helicity we disprove the claim that states with helicity $|h| \geq 1$ cannot couple to a conserved current by constructing such a current.

Keywords:
Unitary representation, Poincaré group, Helicity, Massless states, Currents, Supersymmetry, Position operator
2008 MSC: 58D30, 81R05, 83A05

arXiv:1601.07825v1 [hep-th] 28 Jan 2016

The constitutive feature of a relativistic quantum system is the existence of a unitary representation (of the connected part of the cover) of the Poincaré group: a quantum system is relativistic if its Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ carries such a representation. It endows the states with physical properties, e.g. the representation $U_a = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}P\cdot a}$ of translations $x \mapsto x+a$ is generated by commuting, hermitean operators $P = (P^0, P^1, P^2, P^3)$, $P\cdot a = P^0a^0 - P^1a^1 - P^2a^2 - P^3a^3$. Therefore, by definition, P is the four-momentum and its eigenstates are states with definite energy and momentum.

The representation has to be unitary and its generators have to be antihermitean for consistency with the basic postulate of quantum mechanics: if one measures the state Ψ with an ideal device A, which for simplicity has discrete, nondegenerate results, then the probability w for the result a_i to occur is

$$w(i, A, \Psi) = |\,\langle \Lambda_i \,|\, \Psi\rangle\,|^2 \ . \tag{1}$$

Here Λ_i are the states (they exist by the assumption that the device A is ideal) which yield a_i with certainty, $w(i, A, \Lambda_j) = \delta^i{}_j$.

No formulation of quantum theory can do without this statement of what the physical situation is in case that mathematically the system is in a state Ψ. We remind the reader of these well known foundations of quantum mechanics only to stress the importance of the antihermiticity of the generators $-\mathrm{i}H$ of the representation. If H were not hermitean, then its generated flow in Hilbert space $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}Ht} : \Psi(0) \mapsto \Psi(t)$ would not conserve the sum of probabilities, $\sum_i w(i, A, \Psi) = 1$.

The construction of unitary representations $g \mapsto U_g$ of the Poincaré group by Wigner [1] were shown by Mackey [2] to yield all unitary representations for which for all Φ and Ψ in $\mathcal{H}$ the maps $g \mapsto \langle\Phi|U_g\Psi\rangle$ are measurable.

By Mackey's theorems all unitary representations of the Poincaré group are sums or integrals of irreducible representations and each irreducible, unitary representation of the Poincaré group is uniquely specified by its mass shell $\mathcal{M}$, the orbit under the proper Lorentz group G of a momentum $\underline{p}$, and an irreducible, unitary representation R of its stabilizer $H = \{h \in G : h\underline{p} = \underline{p}\}$.

An orbit $\mathcal{M} = \{p : p = g\underline{p}, g \in G\}$ can be viewed as the set G/H of cosets gH on which G acts by left multiplication. The projection of G to the mass shell G/H

$$\pi : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} G & \to & G/H \\ g & \mapsto & p = g\underline{p} \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

attributes to each Lorentz transformation g the momentum $p = g\underline{p}$ which is obtained by applying g to $\underline{p}$. The fiber over a point p consists of all transformations g, which map $\underline{p}$ to p. It is the coset gH of the stabilizer H of $\underline{p}$. Any two fibers are either disjoint or identical and G is the union of all fibers, it is a fiber bundle.

A local section of G is a collection of maps σ_α, enumerated by Greek indices which we exempt from the summation convention. In its domain $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ the map σ_α is a right inverse of the projection π. In other words: σ_α assigns to each $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ a transformation $\sigma_\alpha(p)$ which maps $\underline{p}$ to p, $\big(\sigma_\alpha(p)\big)\underline{p} = p$,

$$\sigma_\alpha : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset G/H & \to & G \\ p & \mapsto & \sigma_\alpha(p) \end{array} \right. \ , \quad \pi\sigma_\alpha = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha} \ . \tag{3}$$

The domains $\mathcal{U}_\alpha$ are required to cover the base manifold $\cup_\alpha \mathcal{U}_\alpha = G/H$. If this can be done already with one domain, $\mathcal{U} = G/H$, then the section is called a global section and the fiber bundle is trivial, i.e. equivalent to the product of a fiber times the base manifold.

In their common domain two sections differ by their transition function $h_{\alpha\beta} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \to H$,

$$\sigma_\beta(p) = \sigma_\alpha(p)\, h_{\alpha\beta}(p) \ , \ h^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}(p) = h_{\beta\alpha}(p) \ . \tag{4}$$

Email address: dragon@itp.uni-hannover.de (Norbert Dragon)

As group multiplication is associative, the transition functions are related by

$$h_{\alpha\beta}h_{\beta\gamma} = h_{\alpha\gamma} \tag{5}$$

in each intersection $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \cap \mathcal{U}_\gamma$ of three domains.

Let $\mathbb{C}^{2s+1}$ be a space on which a unitary, irreducible representation R of the stabilizer H of some momentum $\underline{p}$ acts. Consider the space $\mathcal{H}_R$ of functions

$$\Psi : G \to \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} \tag{6}$$

with a fixed dependence along each fiber (for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$)

$$\Psi(gh) = R(h^{-1})\Psi(g) \ . \tag{7}$$

As R is unitary, the scalar product of the values of two functions $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{H}_R$ at g

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Phi,\Psi\big)_g &= \big(\Phi(g),\Psi(g)\big) = \big(R(h^{-1})\Phi(g), R(h^{-1})\Psi(g)\big)\\ &= \big(\Phi(gh),\Psi(gh)\big) = \big(\Phi,\Psi\big)_{gh}\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

is constant along each fiber $p = gH$ and therefore a function of $\mathcal{M} = G/H$. This allows to define the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}_R$ as

$$\langle \Phi \,|\, \Psi\rangle = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \, \big(\Phi,\Psi\big)_p \ . \tag{9}$$

We choose the integration measure Lorentz invariant and with the conventional normalization factors

$$\tilde{\mathrm{d}}p = \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\, p}{(2\pi)^3 2\,\sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}^2}} \ . \tag{10}$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_R$ becomes a Hilbert space if in addition we require its elements, the wave functions, to be measurable and square integrable with respect to $\tilde{\mathrm{d}}p$. $\mathcal{H}_R$ carries a unitary representation of the Poincaré group: translations are represented on the wave functions Ψ multiplicatively

$$\big(U_a\Psi\big)(g) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}p\cdot a}\,\Psi(g) \ , \ p = g\,\underline{p} \ . \tag{11}$$

Lorentz transformations Λ of the wave functions are defined to act by inverse left multiplication of the argument

$$\big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)(g) = \Psi(\Lambda^{-1}g) \ . \tag{12}$$

Consistent with (7) they map $\mathcal{H}_R$ unitarily to itself,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle U_\Lambda\Phi \,|\, U_\Lambda\Psi\rangle &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}(\Lambda p)\, \big(U_\Lambda\Phi|U_\Lambda\Psi\big)_{\Lambda p} =\\ = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}(\Lambda p)\, \big(\Phi|\Psi\big)_p &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p\, \big(\Phi|\Psi\big)_p = \langle \Phi \,|\, \Psi\rangle \ .\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The map $\Lambda \mapsto U_\Lambda$ is a representation of G in $\mathcal{H}_R$ and is said to be induced by the representation R of the stabilizer H. By Mackey's theorems the representation of the Poincaré group is irreducible if it is induced by an irreducible unitary representation R. Vice versa, each unitary, irreducible representation of the Poincaré group is unitarily equivalent to an induced representation. Two of them are equivalent if and only if their mass shells agree and their inducing representations are equivalent.

If the representation R is trivial, $R = 1$, then the wave functions $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_R$ are constant along each fiber gH and naturally define functions of the mass shell G/H.

If R is nontrivial, then by composition with a local section σ_α one obtains a one-to-one correspondence of each function $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_R$ to a section of the vector bundle over G/H consisting of the collection of functions Ψ_α,

$$\Psi_\alpha = \Psi \circ \sigma_\alpha : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \to \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} \ , \tag{14}$$

which by (7) are related in $\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta$ by

$$\Psi_\beta = \Psi(\sigma_\alpha\, h_{\alpha\beta}) = R\big(h_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}\big)\Psi_\alpha = R(h_{\beta\alpha})\,\Psi_\alpha \ . \tag{15}$$

A transformation $\Lambda \in G$ maps $\sigma_\alpha(p)$ by left multiplication to $\Lambda\sigma_\alpha(p)$ in the fiber of $(\Lambda p) \in \mathcal{U}_\beta$ for some β which by (4) is related to $\sigma_\beta(\Lambda p)$ by an H-transformation, the Wigner rotation $W_{\beta\alpha}(\Lambda, p)$,

$$\Lambda\,\sigma_\alpha(p) = \sigma_\beta(\Lambda\, p)\, W_{\beta\alpha}(\Lambda, p) \ . \tag{16}$$

Inserted into (12) one obtains the transformation of the wave function Ψ_β

$$\begin{aligned}\big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)_\beta(\Lambda p) &= \big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)(\sigma_\beta(\Lambda p)) =\\ = \big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)(\Lambda\sigma_\alpha(p)W_{\beta\alpha}^{-1}) &= R(W_{\beta\alpha})\big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)(\Lambda\sigma_\alpha(p)) =\\ = R(W_{\beta\alpha})\,\Psi(\sigma_\alpha(p)) &= R(W_{\beta\alpha})\,\Psi_\alpha(p) \ ,\\ \big(U_\Lambda\Psi\big)_\beta(\Lambda p) &= R\big(W_{\beta\alpha}(\Lambda,p)\big)\,\Psi_\alpha(p) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The transformation U_Λ represents the group G because R represents the stabilizer H and the Wigner rotations satisfy for $p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, $\Lambda_1 p \in \mathcal{U}_\beta$ and $\Lambda_2\Lambda_1 p \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$ by (16)

$$W_{\gamma\alpha}(\Lambda_2\Lambda_1, p) = W_{\gamma\beta}(\Lambda_2, \Lambda_1\, p)\, W_{\beta\alpha}(\Lambda_1, p) \ . \tag{18}$$

For $p^0 = \sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}^2}$ we spell out the transformations of massive $m > 0$ and massless $m = 0$ states.

The mass shell of a particle with mass $m > 0$ is the orbit of $\underline{p} = (m, 0, 0, 0)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_m = \{(p^0, \vec{p}) : p^0 = \sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}^2} \ , \ \vec{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \ . \tag{19}$$

The stabilizer of $\underline{p}$ is the group of rotations, $H = \mathrm{SO}(3)$. Each of its irreducible, unitary representations R is determined by its dimension $2s+1$, where s, the spin of the particle, is nonnegative and half integer or integer.

The manifold $\mathrm{SO}(1,3)^\uparrow$ is the product $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ as each Lorentztransformation $\Lambda = L_p O$ can be uniquely decomposed into a rotation O and a boost L_p [3, (6.32)]. So there exists a global section $\sigma : \mathcal{M}_m \to \mathrm{SO}(1,3)^\uparrow$ sparing us to write an index α or β for local sections.

$$\sigma(p) = L_p \ , \ L_p\,\underline{p} = p \tag{20}$$

Composed with this section, the functions $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}_R$ become wave functions of $\mathcal{M}_m$.

Each Lorentz transformation in the neighbourhood of the unit element is the exponential $\Lambda = \mathrm{e}^{\omega}$ of an element of its Lie algebra. As the group multiplication is analytic (and if the local section is analytic) (17) maps analytic states to each other because the parameters ω of the transformations which do not leave a point in the orbit invariant can be chosen as coordinates in its neighbourhood. On analytic states and *only on them* the transformation U_Λ is given by a series in the transformation parameter ω times differential and multiplicative antihermitean generators $-\mathrm{i}M_{mn}$, $U_\Lambda = \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\omega^{mn}M_{mn}\right)$.

The Cauchy completion of the analytic states defines an invariant Hilbert space of the irreducible representation. Therefore the Cauchy completion coincides with $\mathcal{H}_R$.

Wave functions Ψ which differ only in a set of vanishing measure correspond to the same vector in $\mathcal{H}$ because $\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = 0$ if and only if $\Psi = 0$, so the value of the wave function in a point is irrelevant. However, continuous wave functions cannot be changed in a point to some other equivalent continuous function as each equivalence class of functions which coincide nearly everywhere contains at most one and then unique continuous function. States on which the generators of an analytic transformation group act by differential operators are even more restricted to be analytic functions of the orbit and are already determined in each orbit by their behavior in a neighbourhoud of one point.

The expansion of (17) gives $\big(U\Psi\big)(p) + (\omega p)^i\partial_i(U\Psi)(p)$ to first order and in the latter term we can replace $U\Psi$ by Ψ yielding $\Psi(p) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\omega^{mn}M_{mn}\Psi(p) + (\omega p)^i\partial_i\Psi(p)$ [1].

A rotation $D = \mathrm{e}^{\omega}$ coincides with its Wigner rotation $W(D,p)$, $DL_p = L_{Dp}D$. Let its unitary representation be given by $R(D) = \exp\frac{1}{2}\omega^{ij}\Gamma_{ij}$ with antihermitean matrices, $\Gamma_{ij} = -\Gamma_{ij}^\dagger = -\Gamma_{ji}$, which represent the Lie algebra of rotations ($i,j,k,l \in \{1,2,3\}$)

$$[\Gamma_{ij},\Gamma_{kl}] = \delta_{ik}\Gamma_{jl} - \delta_{il}\Gamma_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\Gamma_{il} + \delta_{kl}\Gamma_{ik}\ . \qquad (21)$$

So the right side of (17) is $\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{ij}\Gamma_{ij}\Psi$ up to higher orders and we obtain

$$\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{ij}\Psi\big)(p) = -\big(p^i\partial_{p^j} - p^j\partial_{p^i}\big)\Psi(p) + \Gamma_{ij}\Psi(p)\ . \qquad (22)$$

As the operators $(J^1,J^2,J^3) = (M_{23},M_{31},M_{12})$ generate rotations, they are the components of the angular momentum $\vec{J}$. They consist of orbital angular momentum $\vec{L} = -\mathrm{i}p\times\partial_p$ and spin $\vec{S}$ contributed by the matrices $\mathrm{i}\Gamma$, $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$. Orbital angular momentum commutes with spin, $[L^i,S^j] = 0$.

[1] The summation index i enumerates coordinates of the mass shell, e.g. the spatial components of the momentum. Though the components and the derivatives depend on the coordinates, the differential operator is independent of coordinates. It is the restriction to the mass shell of the vector field $\sum_{m=0}^3(\omega p)^m\partial_m$ which is tangent to the Lorentz flow of four-momentum. The derivatives ∂_m, $m = 0,1,2,3$, however, cannot be applied separately to the wave functions Ψ as they are no functions of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$.

The component functions of the differential operator on the right side of (22) are the negative of the infinitesimal motion δp of the points p. This motion occurs on the left side of (17). Because of this sign one has to distinguish the variation of a point p from the change of the coordinate functions $h^i : p \mapsto p^i$ which change by $\delta h^i = -\delta p^i$.

We choose the basis matrices l_{mn} which generate Lorentz transformations in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ with matrix elements ($\eta = \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,-1,-1)$)

$$(l_{mn})^r{}_s = \delta_m{}^r\eta_{ns} - \delta_n{}^r\eta_{ms} \qquad (23)$$

consistent with $\omega^r{}_s = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{mn}l_{mn}{}^r{}_s$. Their commutators satisfy the Lorentz algebra

$$[l_{kl},l_{mn}] = -\eta_{km}l_{ln} + \eta_{kn}l_{lm} + \eta_{lm}l_{kn} - \eta_{ln}l_{km}\ . \qquad (24)$$

So l_{ij} rotates the basis vectors e_i to e_j ($i,j \in \{1,2,3\}$. The infinitesimal boost l_{0i} maps e_0 to $-e_i$, moves points p by $(l_{0i}p)^j = -p^0\delta^j{}_i$, $p^0 = \sqrt{m^2+\vec{p}^2}$, and changes wave functions by $p^0\partial_{p^i}$.

The calculation of the Wigner rotation $W(L_q,p)$ of a boost L_q in terms of the lengths of $\vec{q}$ and $\vec{p}$ and their included angle is a 'Herculean task' [4] or requires 'tedious manipulations' [5]. The result is stated in [4] or e.g [6]. We derive it comparing the products of matrices l_a and w which represent in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ [3, chap. 6] boosts L_p with rapidity $a = \mathrm{artanh}(|\vec{p}|/p^0)$ in direction $\vec{n}$ and rotations W around an axis $\vec{n}$ by an angle δ ($a' = a/2$, $\delta' = \delta/2$)

$$l_a = \mathrm{ch}\,a' - \mathrm{sh}\,a'\,\vec{n}_a\cdot\vec{\sigma}\ ,\ w = \cos\delta' - \mathrm{i}\sin\delta'\,\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\ . \qquad (25)$$

The products of two boosts l_bl_a and of a boost l_{-c} with a rotation w yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\mathrm{ch}\,b' - \mathrm{sh}\,b'\,\vec{n}_b\cdot\vec{\sigma}\big)\big(\mathrm{ch}\,a' - \mathrm{sh}\,a'\,\vec{n}_a\cdot\vec{\sigma}\big) = \\
&(\mathrm{ch}\,b'\,\mathrm{ch}\,a' + \mathrm{sh}\,b'\,\mathrm{sh}\,a'\,\vec{n}_a\cdot\vec{n}_b)\mathbf{1} - \\
&-(\mathrm{ch}\,a'\ \mathrm{sh}\,b'\,\vec{n}_b + \mathrm{ch}\,b'\ \mathrm{sh}\,a'\,\vec{n}_a)\cdot\vec{\sigma} - \\
&-\mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{sh}\,b'\ \mathrm{sh}\,a'\,(\vec{n}_a\times\vec{n}_b)\cdot\vec{\sigma}\ , \\
&\big(\mathrm{ch}\,c' + \vec{n}_c\cdot\vec{\sigma}\,\mathrm{sh}\,c'\big)\big(\cos\delta' - \mathrm{i}\sin\delta'\,\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\big) = \\
&(\mathrm{ch}\,c'\cos\delta' - \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{sh}\,c'\ \sin\delta'\,\vec{n}_c\cdot\vec{n})\mathbf{1} + \\
&+\mathrm{sh}\,c'(\cos\delta'\vec{n}_c + \sin\delta'\,\vec{n}_c\times\vec{n})\cdot\vec{\sigma} - \\
&-\mathrm{i}\ \mathrm{ch}\,c'\sin\delta'\,\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}\ .
\end{aligned} \qquad (26)$$

Both products are equal, $l_bl_a = l_{-c}w$ (16), if the complex coefficients of $\mathbf{1}$ and of the σ-matrices match as the matrices are linearly independent.

The coefficients of $\mathbf{1}$ agree only if $\vec{n}\cdot\vec{n}_c = 0$. The axis $\vec{n}$ of the Wigner rotation is orthogonal to the direction $\vec{n}_c$ of the resulting boost and $\vec{n}_c$ lies in the plane spanned by $\vec{n}_a$ and $\vec{n}_b$ because l_b and l_a are in the subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(1,2)$ of boosts and rotations in this plane.

With $\vec{n}_b\cdot\vec{n}_a = \cos\varphi$ and $\vec{n}_a\times\vec{n}_b = \vec{n}\sin\varphi$ the comparison of the coefficients of $\mathbf{1}$ and of $\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{ch}\,c')\,(\cos\delta') &= (\mathrm{ch}\,b')(\mathrm{ch}\,a') + (\mathrm{sh}\,b')(\mathrm{sh}\,a')(\cos\varphi)\ , \\
(\mathrm{ch}\,c')\,(\sin\delta') &= (\mathrm{sh}\,b')(\mathrm{sh}\,a')(\sin\varphi)\ .
\end{aligned} \qquad (27)$$

The ratio of both equations determines the looked for angle

$$\tan\frac{\delta}{2}=\frac{\sin\varphi}{k+\cos\varphi}\ ,\ k=(\coth\frac{a}{2})\,(\coth\frac{b}{2})\ . \tag{28}$$

It has the same sign as φ, i.e. $W(b,a)$ rotates in the direction from $\vec{n}_a$ to $\vec{n}_b$.

The derivative of δ with respect to b at $b=0$ is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\delta}{\mathrm{d}\,b}_{|_{b=0}}=(\sin\varphi)\,\tanh\frac{a}{2}=(\sin\varphi)\frac{|\vec{p}|}{p^0+m}\ . \tag{29}$$

As the infinitesimal Wigner rotation of a boost from p to $-e_i$ rotates from p to $-e_i$ in the same sense as from e_i to p it is represented by $\Gamma_{ij}p^j/(p^0+m)$. Combining both infinitesimal changes, we obtain the generators of boosts of wave functions

$$\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{0i}\Psi\big)(p)=p^0\,\partial_{p^i}\Psi(p)+\Gamma_{ij}\frac{p^j}{p^0+m}\Psi(p)\ . \tag{30}$$

The generators (22, 30) are antihermitean with respect to the scalar product (9) with the measure $\tilde{\mathrm{d}}p$ and represent the Lorentz algebra (24). This is simple to check for $[-\mathrm{i}M_{ij},-\mathrm{i}M_{kl}]$ and manifest for $[-\mathrm{i}M_{ij},-\mathrm{i}M_{0k}]$, because p^i,∂_{p^i} and Γ_{ij} transform as vectors or products of vectors under the joint rotations of momentum and spin; $[-\mathrm{i}M_{0i},-\mathrm{i}M_{0j}]=\mathrm{i}M_{ij}$ holds as if by miracle,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[p^0\partial_{p^i}+\Gamma_{ik}\frac{p^k}{p^0+m},p^0\partial_{p^j}+\Gamma_{jl}\frac{p^l}{p^0+m}]\\
&=\ \big(p^0\frac{p^i}{p^0}\partial_{p^j}+\frac{1}{(p^0+m)^2}(p^0(p^0+m)\delta_i{}^l-p^ip^l)\Gamma_{jl}\big)-\\
&-\big(p^0\frac{p^j}{p^0}\partial_{p^i}+\frac{1}{(p^0+m)^2}(p^0(p^0+m)\delta_j{}^l-p^jp^l)\Gamma_{il}\big)+\\
&+\frac{p^kp^l}{(p^0+m)^2}\big(\delta_{ij}\Gamma_{kl}-\delta_{il}\Gamma_{kj}-\delta_{kj}\Gamma_{il}+\delta_{kl}\Gamma_{ij}\big)\\
&=-\big(-(p^i\partial_{p^j}-p^j\partial_{p^i})+\Gamma_{ij}\big)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For different masses the representations U_a of translations are inequivalent as the spectra of P differ. The representations U_Λ of the Lorentz group on states with mass $m>0$, however, are unitarily equivalent by the scale transformation U_m to the representation on states Ψ with unit mass $p^0=\sqrt{1+\vec{p}^2}$,

$$(U_m\Psi)(m\,p)=m\,\Psi(p)\ . \tag{32}$$

The mass shell $\mathcal{M}_0$ of a massless particle, the orbit under the proper Lorentz group of a lightlike momentum such as $\underline{p}=(1,0,0,\dots 1)$, is the manifold $S^{D-2}\times\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{M}_0=\{(p^0,\vec{p}):p^0=|\vec{p}|>0\ ,\ \vec{p}\in S^{D-2}\times\mathbb{R}\}\ , \tag{33}$$

as $\vec{p}\neq 0$ is specified by its direction and its nonvanishing modulus $|\vec{p}|=\mathrm{e}^\lambda>0$. The tip of the lightcone $p=0$ does not belong to the orbit. Already the differential operators $|\vec{p}|\partial_{p^i}$, which generate the flow of boosts, are not analytic there.

The stabilizer H of $\underline{p}$ is generated by infinitesimal transformations ω, $\eta\omega=-(\eta\omega)^{\mathrm{T}}$ with ${\omega^m}_0+{\omega^m}_z=0$ which consequently are of the form

$$\omega(a,\hat{\omega})=\begin{pmatrix} & a^{\mathrm{T}} & \\ a & \hat{\omega} & -a\\ & a^{\mathrm{T}} & \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{34}$$

Here a is a $D-2$-vector and $\hat{\omega}$ is a $(D-2)\times(D-2)$ matrix, which generates a rotation $w\in\mathrm{SO}(D-2)$. Because $\omega(a,0)$ and $\omega(b,0)$ commute they generate translations in $D-2$ dimensions. They are rotated by w. So the stabilizer H is the Euclidean group $\mathrm{E}(D-2)$ of translations and rotations in $D-2$ dimensions.

In $D=4$ the inducing representation R of an irreducible, massless unitary representation of the Poincaré group is an irreducible, unitary representation of E(2). Such a representation is characterized by the SO(2) orbit of some point $\underline{q}\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and a unitary representation $\hat{R}$ of its stabilizer $\hat{H}\subset\mathrm{SO}(2)$ [2]. If $\underline{q}\neq 0$, the orbit is a circle and the stabilizer is trivial. E(2) is represented on wave functions of a circle. Contrary to its denomination 'continuous spin' such a representation leads to integer or half integer, though infinitely many, helicities (the Fourier modes of the wave functions). These representations are phenomenologically excluded as infinitely many massless states per given momentum make the specific heat of each cavity infinite.

If $\underline{q}=0\in\mathbb{R}^2$, then the orbit is the point $\underline{q}=0$ and the translations in E(2) are represented trivially. The stabilizer is $H=$SO(2). Each unitary, irreducible representation R of its cover or each ray representation is one dimensional and represents the rotation D_δ by the angle δ by multiplication with $R(D_\delta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}h\delta}$ where h is an arbitrary, real number, which characterizes R.

In $D=4$ there is no global section[2] with which to relate wave functions in $\mathcal{H}_R$ (7) to wave functions of $\mathcal{M}_0$ (14) Such a section cannot exist as $S^3\neq S^2\times S^1$ is the Hopf bundle of circles where each circle winds around each other circle [8].

So we use two local sections N_p and S_p which are defined in the north $\mathcal{U}_N$ outside $\mathcal{A}_-=\{(|p_z|,0,0,-|p_z|)\}$ and the south $\mathcal{U}_S$ outside $\mathcal{A}_+=\{(|p_z|,0,0,|p_z|)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_N&=\{p\in\mathcal{M}_0:|\vec{p}|+p_z>0\}\ ,\\
\mathcal{U}_S&=\{p\in\mathcal{M}_0:|\vec{p}|-p_z>0\}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The section $N_p=D_pB_p$ boosts $(1,0,0,1)$ in 3-direction to $B_p\underline{p}=(|\vec{p}|,0,0,|\vec{p}|)$ and then rotates by the smallest angle namely by θ around the axis $(-\sin\varphi,\cos\varphi,0)$ along a great circle to $p=|\vec{p}|(1,\sin\theta\cos\varphi,\sin\theta\sin\varphi,\cos\theta)$. With $\theta'=\theta/2$, $\tan\theta'=\sqrt{(|\vec{p}|-p_z)/(|\vec{p}|+p_z)}$ and $p'=\sqrt{|\vec{p}|}$

[2]Only in the 4 cases $D-1=1,2,4,8$ does the group manifold $\mathrm{SO}(1,D-1)\sim\mathrm{SO}(D-1)\times\mathbb{R}^{D-1}$ factorize into $\mathcal{M}_0\times E(D-2)\sim S^{D-2}\times\mathrm{SO}(D-2)\times\mathbb{R}^{D-1}$ [7].

the preimage of N_p in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ is

$$n_p = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos\theta'}{p'} & -p'\sin\theta' \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi} \\ \frac{\sin\theta'}{p'}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} & p'\cos\theta' \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|+p_z}}{|\vec{p}|} & -\frac{p_x-\mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|+p_z}} \\ \frac{p_x+\mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}|\sqrt{|\vec{p}|+p_z}} & \sqrt{|\vec{p}|+p_z} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{36}$$

One easily checks that n_p transforms $\underline{\hat{p}} = \mathbf{1} - \sigma^3$ to $n_p\,\underline{\hat{p}}\,(n_p)^\dagger = |\vec{p}| - \vec{p}\cdot\vec{\sigma} = \hat{p}$ [3, (6.63)] In $\mathcal{U}_N$ the section n_p is analytic in p. It is discontinuous on $\mathcal{A}_-$, as the limit $\theta \to \pi$ depends on φ.

The section

$$s_p = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos\theta'}{p'}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi} & -p'\sin\theta' \\ \frac{\sin\theta'}{p'} & p'\cos\theta'\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p_x-\mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}|\sqrt{|\vec{p}|-p_z}} & -\sqrt{|\vec{p}|-p_z} \\ \frac{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|-p_z}}{|\vec{p}|} & \frac{p_x+\mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|-p_z}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{37}$$

is defined and analytic in $\mathcal{U}_S$. The corresponding southern section S_p rotates $B_p\underline{p}$ in the 1-3-plane by π to $|\vec{p}|(1,0,0,-1)$ and then along a great circle by the smallest angle to p, namely by $\pi-\theta$ around $\vec{n}' = (\sin\varphi, -\cos\varphi, 0)$.

In their common domain two sections differ by the multiplication from the right (4) with a momentum dependent matrix in the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ preimage of $H = \mathrm{E}(2)$

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\delta/2} & 0 \\ a & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{38}$$

s_p differs in $\mathcal{U}_N \cap \mathcal{U}_S$ from n_p by a rotation around the 3-axis by 2φ, the area of the zone (spherical biangle) with vertices $\vec{e}_z$ and $-\vec{e}_z$ and sides through $\vec{e}_x$ and $\vec{p}/|\vec{p}|$,

$$s_p = n_p \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi} & \\ & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} = \frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}\,p_y}{\sqrt{p_x^2+p_y^2}} = \frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}\,p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|-p_z}\sqrt{|\vec{p}|+p_z}} . \tag{39}$$

The angle δ of the Wigner rotation $W(\Lambda,p)$, which accompanies a Lorentz transformation, $\Lambda N_p = N_{\Lambda p} W(\Lambda,p)$ (16) can be read off from the 22-element of the product of the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ preimage λ with n_p,

$$\lambda n_p = n_{\Lambda p} w(\Lambda,p) , \tag{40}$$

as $\delta/2$ is the phase of $(\lambda n_p)_{22} = |(n_{\Lambda p}\,w)_{22}|\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta/2}$ (36, 38).

If Λ is a rotation by α around the axis $\vec{n}$ then λ is given by (25) and the Wigner angle δ and its infinitesimal value are

$$\tan\frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{n_z|\vec{p}| + \vec{n}\cdot\vec{p}}{(|\vec{p}|+p_z)(\cot\frac{\alpha}{2}) + n_x p_y - n_y p_x} , \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\delta}{\mathrm{d}\,\alpha}_{|_{\alpha=0}} = \frac{n_z|\vec{p}| + \vec{n}\cdot\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|+p_z} . \tag{41}$$

As $R(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\delta}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}h\delta}$, the representation $-\mathrm{i}\,h\,p_y/(|\vec{p}|+p_z)$ of the infinitesimal Wigner rotation accompanies e.g. the infinitesimal rotation in the 3-1-plane.

Analogously one determines the Wigner angle of a boost

$$\tan\frac{\delta}{2} = \frac{-(n_x p_y - n_y p_x)}{(|\vec{p}|+p_z)(\coth\frac{\beta}{2}) + n_z|\vec{p}| + \vec{n}\cdot\vec{p}} , \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\delta}{\mathrm{d}\,\beta}_{|_{\beta=0}} = \frac{-(n_x p_y - n_y p_x)}{|\vec{p}|+p_z} . \tag{42}$$

and the generators of boosts, bearing in mind that $-\mathrm{i}M_{0i}$ boosts from e_0 to $-e_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{12}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= -\big(p_x\partial_{p_y} - p_y\partial_{p_x} + \mathrm{i}\,h\big)\Psi_N(p) , \\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{31}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= -\big(p_z\partial_{p_x} - p_x\partial_{p_z} + \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_y}{|\vec{p}|+p_z}\big)\Psi_N(p) , \\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{32}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= -\big(p_z\partial_{p_y} - p_y\partial_{p_z} - \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_x}{|\vec{p}|+p_z}\big)\Psi_N(p) , \\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{01}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= \big(|\vec{p}|\partial_{p_x} - \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_y}{|\vec{p}|+p_z}\big)\Psi_N(p) , \\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{02}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= \big(|\vec{p}|\partial_{p_y} + \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_x}{|\vec{p}|+p_z}\big)\Psi_N(p) , \\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{03}\Psi\big)_N(p) &= |\vec{p}|\partial_{p_z}\Psi_N(p) .
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

In particular the helicity, the angular momentum $\vec{p}\cdot\vec{J}/|\vec{p}|$ in the direction of the momentum $\vec{p}$, is a multiple of 1, namely the real number h,

$$\big((p_x\,M_{23} + p_y\,M_{31} + p_z\,M_{12})\Psi\big)_N(p) = h\,|\vec{p}|\,\Psi_N(p) . \tag{44}$$

Outside $\mathcal{A}_-$ the differential and multiplicative operators $-\mathrm{i}M_{mn}$ satisfy the Lie algebra (24) of Lorentz transformations for each value of the real number h.

But the functions $(M_{mn}\Psi)_N$ are not defined on $\mathcal{A}_-$ and seem to contradict Mackey's results that analytic states in $\mathcal{H}_R$ are transformed to each other (12). One cannot require the wave functions Ψ_N to vanish on $\mathcal{A}_-$ because rotations map them to functions which vanish elsewhere. One also cannot turn a blind eye to the singularity of (43) taking unwarranted comfort in the misleading argument [5, 9] that one can change a wave function in a set of vanishing measure. The problem is not a set of measure zero but the factor $p_y/(|\vec{p}|+p_z)$ which near to $\mathcal{A}_-$ grows as $2|p_z|\,p_y/(p_x^2+p_y^2)$ proportional to p_z/r where $r = (p_x^2+p_y^2)^{1/2}$ is the axial distance. For differentiable Ψ_N the derivative terms of $M_{13}\Psi$ stay bounded and the integrand of $\langle M_{13}\Psi\,|\,M_{13}\Psi\rangle$ (9) diverges as $4\,h^2\,|\Psi_N|^2(p_z/r)^2$. Cutting out a tube of radius ε around on $\mathcal{A}_-$ and integrating in polar coordinates $\mathrm{d}^3p = \mathrm{d}\,p_z\,(r\,\mathrm{d}\,r)\,\mathrm{d}\varphi$ the integral over $r \geq \varepsilon$ diverges if ε goes to zero, $h^2\int_\varepsilon^1 \mathrm{d}\,r/r = -h^2\ln\varepsilon \to \infty$.

If Ψ does not vanish on $\mathcal{A}_-$ then for $\|M_{13}\Psi\|$ to exist Ψ_N must not be differentiable there. The derivative term $-p_z\partial_{p_x}$ has to cancel near $\mathcal{A}_-$ the multiplicative singularity which is also linear in p_z. This cancellation $\big(\partial_{p_x} - 2\mathrm{i}hp_y/(p_x^2+p_y^2)\big)f = 0$ and, for $M_{23}\Psi$ to exist, $\big(\partial_{p_y} + 2\mathrm{i}hp_x/(p_x^2+p_y^2)\big)f = 0$ determine $f(p_x,p_y) = \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}h\varphi}$.

If Ψ_N is square integrabel and analytic in $\mathcal{U}_R$, then $M_{mn}\Psi$ is square integrable and analytic if and only if in $\mathcal{U}_N \cap \mathcal{U}_S$ the function $\Psi_N = \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}h\varphi}\Psi_S$ is the product of the gauge transformation $\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}h\varphi}$ times a function Ψ_S, which is analytic in $\mathcal{U}_S$,

$$\Psi_S(p) = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\,h\varphi}\,\Psi_N(p)\ . \tag{45}$$

Multiplying (43) with the transition function $\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\,h\,\varphi}$ and using (45) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{12}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= -\big(p_x\partial_{p_y} - p_y\partial_{p_x} - \mathrm{i}\,h\big)\Psi_S(p)\ ,\\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{31}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= -\big(p_z\partial_{p_x} - p_x\partial_{p_z} + \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_y}{|\vec{p}|-p_z}\big)\Psi_S(p)\,,\\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{32}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= -\big(p_z\partial_{p_y} - p_y\partial_{p_z} - \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_x}{|\vec{p}|-p_z}\big)\Psi_S(p)\,,\\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{01}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= \big(|\vec{p}|\partial_{p_x} + \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_y}{|\vec{p}|-p_z}\big)\Psi_S(p)\ ,\\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{02}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= \big(|\vec{p}|\partial_{p_y} - \mathrm{i}\,h\,\frac{p_x}{|\vec{p}|-p_z}\big)\Psi_S(p)\ ,\\
\big(-\mathrm{i}M_{03}\Psi\big)_S(p) &= |\vec{p}|\partial_{p_z}\Psi_S(p)\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The same generators ensue if, along the lines of the derivation of (43), one reads the Wigner angle δ from the phase of the 12-element $(\lambda s_p)_{12} = -|(s_{\Lambda p}w)_{12}|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta/2}$.

The transition function $\mathrm{e}^{2\,\mathrm{i}\,h\,\varphi}$ is defined and analytic in $\mathcal{U}_N \cap \mathcal{U}_S$ only if $2h \in \mathbb{Z}$ is integer. This is why the helicity of a massless particle is integer or half integer. For each other value $-\mathrm{i}M_{mn}$ are not antihermitean operators in Hilbert space.

For helicity $h \neq 0$ each continuous momentum wave function Ψ has to vanish along some line, a Dirac string in momentum space, from $p = 0$ to infinity. Namely, if one removes the set $\mathcal{N}$, where Ψ vanishes, from the domains $\mathcal{U}_N$ and $\mathcal{U}_S$ then the remaining sets $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_N$ and $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_S$ cannot both be simply connected. Because in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_N$ the phase of Ψ_N is continuous and its winding number along a closed path does not change under deformations of the path in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_N$ as this number is integer and continuous. For a contractible path this winding number vanishes, because the phase becomes constant upon the contraction. If $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_N$ is simply connected then it contains a contractible path around $\mathcal{A}_+$ which also lies in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_S$. On this path the phase of Ψ_N has vanishing winding number but the phase of $\Psi_S = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\,h\,\varphi}\Psi_N$ winds $2h$-fold. So the path cannot be contractible in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_S$.

Restricted to the subgroup of rotations the induced representation is a direct integral of representations. In coordinates $E = |\vec{p}|$ and coordinates u for the sphere S^2 of directions (with rotation invariant surface element $\mathrm{d}\,\Omega$) the scalar product

$$\langle\Phi|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\,E\ E\int_{S^2}\mathrm{d}\,\Omega\ \Phi^*(E,u)\,\Psi(E,u) \tag{47}$$

reveals the Hilbert space as tensor product $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})\otimes\mathcal{H}(S^2)$ where $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$, the space of wave functions of the energy E, is left pointwise invariant under rotations and $\mathcal{H}(S^2)$ is the space of states on the unit sphere. In $\mathcal{H}(S^2)$ the representation of rotations is induced by the representation $R(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,h\delta}$ of rotations around the 3-axis. However, to be induced by an irreducible representation on sections over an orbit does not make the representation of SO(3) irreducible.

To determine its invariant subspaces we recall that each angular momentum multiplet with total angular momentum j contains a state Λ which is an eigenvector of $J_3 = M_{12}$ with eigenvalue j and which is annihilated by $J_+ = M_{23} + \mathrm{i}M_{31}$

$$(M_{12} - j)\Lambda = 0,\ (M_{23} + \mathrm{i}M_{31})\Lambda = 0\ . \tag{48}$$

By (43) these are differential equations for Λ_N. They become easily solvable if we consider Λ_N as a function of the complex stereographic coordinates

$$w = \frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}| + p_z}\ ,\ \bar{w} = \frac{p_x - \mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}| + p_z}\ , \tag{49}$$

which map the northern domain to $\mathbb{C}$. Then the differential equations read

$$(w\partial_w - \bar{w}\partial_{\bar{w}} + h - j)\Lambda_N = 0\ ,\ (w^2\partial_w + \partial_{\bar{w}} + h\,w)\Lambda_N = 0\ . \tag{50}$$

Recollecting that $w\partial_w$ measures the homogeneity in w, $w\partial_w\,(w)^r = r\,(w)^r$, the first equation is solved by $\Lambda_N = w^{j-h}\,g(|w|^2)$ and the second equation implies g to be homogeneous in $\big(1+|w|^2\big)$ of degree $-j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big((j-h+h)g + (|w|^2+1)g'\big)\,w^{j-h+1} &= 0\ ,\\
\Lambda_N(w,\bar{w}) &= \frac{w^{j-h}}{(1+|w|^2)^j}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

The state Λ is analytic only if $j-h$ is a nonnegative integer. It is square integrable with respect to the measure

$$\mathrm{d}\,\Omega = \mathrm{d}\cos\theta\ \mathrm{d}\,\varphi = \mathrm{d}\,w\,\mathrm{d}\,\bar{w}\,\frac{2\mathrm{i}}{(1+w\bar{w})^2} \tag{52}$$

if also $j+h$ is nonnegative which is just the restriction to be analytic also in southern stereographic coordinates. They are related to the northern coordinates in their common domain by inversion at the unit circle,

$$w' = \frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}| - p_z} = \frac{1}{\bar{w}}\ ,\ \bar{w}' = \frac{p_x - \mathrm{i}p_y}{|\vec{p}| - p_z} = \frac{1}{w}\ , \tag{53}$$

and Λ_S is analytic only if $j+h$ is a nonnegative integer

$$\Lambda_S(w',\bar{w}') = \big(\frac{w}{|w|}\big)^{2h}\Lambda_N = \frac{w'^{\,j+h}}{(1+|w'|^2)^j}\ . \tag{54}$$

So $j \geq |h|$: there is no round photon with $j = 0$.

The representation $R(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\delta}) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,h\delta}$ of SO(2) induces in the space of sections over the sphere no SO(3) multiplet with $j < |h|$ and one multiplet for $j = |h|, |h|+1, \ldots,$

$$n_h(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if}\quad j < |h| \\ 1 & \text{if}\quad j = |h|, |h|+1, \ldots \end{cases}\ . \tag{55}$$

The multiplicity $n_h(j)$ exemplifies the Frobenius reciprocity [2] for $G{=}\,\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $H{=}\,\mathrm{SO}(2)$: The representation h of the subgroup H induces each representation j of the group G with the multiplicity $m_j(h)$ with which the restriction of j to the subgroup H contains h, $m_j(h) = n_h(j)$.

A complete SO(3) multiplet with spin s at fixed momentum consists of helicities $h = -s, -s+1, \dots s$ and induces SO(3) representations j with multiplicity

$$N(j) = \sum_{h=-s}^{s} n_h(j) = \begin{cases} 2j+1 & \text{if} \quad j \le s \\ 2s+1 & \text{if} \quad j \ge s \end{cases} \quad . \tag{56}$$

This fits to the multiplicity $N_{s\otimes l}(j)$ with which total angular momentum j is contained in the product of spin s with orbital angular momentum l,

$$N_{s\otimes l}(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \in \{s+l, s+l-1, \dots |s-l|\} \\ 0 & \text{else .} \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

As l ranges over $0, 1, 2, \dots$ one obtains $N(j) = \sum_l N_{s\otimes l}(j)$. If each helicity state would induce one multiplet for each j, then for integer spin the states of the spin multiplet would induce $2s+1$ singlets $j = 0$ rather than $N(0) = 1$.

Throughout quantum field theory one uses a continuum basis of momentum eigenstates Γ_p to map test functions of $\mathcal{M}_0$ to states Ψ in $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\Psi = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \; \Psi(p)^{\mathrm{T}} \, \Gamma_p \; , \; \Psi(p) = \langle \Gamma_p | \Psi \rangle \quad . \tag{58}$$

Strictly speaking the eigenstates Γ_p are distributions with scalar product

$$\langle \Gamma_q \, | \, \Gamma_p^{\mathrm{T}} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 2\sqrt{m^2 + \vec{p}^{\,2}} \, \delta^3(\vec{q} - \vec{p}) \, \mathbf{1} \; . \tag{59}$$

and only integrals of the continuum basis with test functions are vectors Ψ in $\mathcal{H}$ with the scalar product (9). This is why we work directly with the wave functions. Moreover the states of massless particles are no functions of $\mathcal{M}_0$ but sections of a nontrivial bundle. To describe them in a continuum basis a proper notation has to use $\Gamma_{p,N}$ or $\Gamma_{p,S}$ in their appropriate domains with $\Gamma_{p,N} = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}h\varphi}\Gamma_{p,S}$ in $\mathcal{U}_N \cap \mathcal{U}_S$ and with the restriction on analytic states that their wave functions be analytic in $\mathcal{U}_N$ and $\mathcal{U}_S$ and be related by (45).

The continuum basis transforms contragradiently to the wave functions Ψ (17)

$$U_\Lambda \Gamma_p = R^{\mathrm{T}}(W(\Lambda, p)) \Gamma_{\Lambda p} \tag{60}$$

(if p and Λp are covered by the same coordinate patch) such that $U_\Lambda \Psi$ corresponds to the transformed wave function,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \; \Psi(p)^{\mathrm{T}} \, U_\Lambda \Gamma_p \; &= \int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \; \Psi(p)^{\mathrm{T}} R^{\mathrm{T}}(W(\Lambda, p)) \, \Gamma_{\Lambda p} \\ &= \int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \; (U_\Lambda \Psi)^{\mathrm{T}}(\Lambda p) \; \Gamma_{\Lambda p} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The generators of the Poincaré transformation of the continuum basis are the transposed of the generators on the wave function, i.e. their derivative part has the reversed sign from partial integration and the multiplicative part is transposed. This is compatible with the Lorentz algebra as U_Λ is linear and therefore commutes with derivatives and matrices which multiply the continuum basis.

Admittedly, the transformation of the continuum basis (60) is more intuitive than (17) and for many purposes it is sufficient to work with one coordinate patch e.g. in $\mathcal{U}_N$ and to forget the analyticity requirements (45) for the states on which the generators (43) act. However, employing the continuum basis one is prone to subtle mistakes, e.g. to overlook that helicity states have angular momentum j which is at least $|h|$ (55) or to miss the Dirac string in momentum space on which continuous wave functions have to vanish.

Using a continuum basis Weinberg and Witten [10] conclude that each matrix element $\langle \Phi \, | \, j^m(0) \, \Psi \rangle$ vanishes for states with $|h| > 1/2$ if $j^m(x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}Px} j^m(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}Px}$ is a conserved current, $0 = \partial_m j^m(x) = \mathrm{i}[P_m, j^m(x)]$ which transforms as a field $U_\Lambda j^m(0) U_\Lambda^{-1} = \Lambda^{-1\,m}{}_n j^n(0)$.

The conclusion follows from the vanishing of $\langle \Gamma_{-\vec{p}} \, | \, j^m(0) \Gamma_{\vec{p}} \rangle$ in momentum eigenstates. Under rotations D_δ around $\vec{p}$ they transform by $U_D \Gamma_{\vec{p}} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}h\delta} \Gamma_{\vec{p}}$ and $U_D \Gamma_{-\vec{p}} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}h\delta} \Gamma_{-\vec{p}}$ as each state has angular momentum h in direction of its momentum, so

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle U_D \, \Gamma_{-\vec{p}} \, \big| \, U_D \, j^m(0) \, U_D^{-1} \, U_D \, \Gamma_{\vec{p}} \rangle \\ &= \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}h\delta} \, D^{-1\,m}{}_n \, \langle \Gamma_{-\vec{p}} \, | \, j^n(0) \Gamma_{\vec{p}} \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and the matrix elements constitute an eigenvector of D with eigenvalue $\mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}h\delta}$. But a rotation D_δ of a four vector has only eigenvalues 1 and $\mathrm{e}^{\pm\mathrm{i}\delta}$. So, if $2|h| > 1$, then

$$\langle \Gamma_{-\vec{p}} \, | \, j^m(0) \Gamma_{\vec{p}} \rangle = 0 \; . \tag{63}$$

The catch in the argument is that there is no basis of states $\Gamma_{\vec{p}}$ for *all* momenta. The domain $\mathcal{U}_N$ does not cover the south pole. Rotations around some axis $\vec{n}$ are not defined by (17) in $\mathcal{U}_N$ for momenta which lie in the south pole's orbit under these rotations. In particular, the states $\Gamma_{\vec{p}}$ and $\Gamma_{-\vec{p}}$ are separated by this orbit and do not lie in a common coordinate patch in which U_D acts smoothly.

We show that the conclusion of [10] is wrong and construct a conserved current for arbitrary helicity h. For this purpose we enlarge the Hilbert space by an auxiliary multiplet with helicity $h + 1/2$ with states Ψ_+ such that states are two component column vectors (Ψ_+, Ψ_h). On these states we define in northern coordinates generators $Q_1, Q_2, \bar{Q}_{\dot{1}} = (Q_1)^\dagger$ and $\bar{Q}_{\dot{2}} = (Q_2)^\dagger$ to multiply the wave functions $\Psi(p)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(p) &= -\frac{p_x - \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}| + p_z}} \, a^\dagger \quad , \; Q_2(p) = \sqrt{|\vec{p}| + p_z} \, a^\dagger \; , \\ \bar{Q}_{\dot{1}}(p) &= -\frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}| + p_z}} \, a \quad , \; \bar{Q}_{\dot{2}}(p) = \sqrt{|\vec{p}| + p_z} \, a \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where $a^\dagger$ and a are the matrices [3]

$$a^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{65}$$

Q_α and $\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}$ commute with P^m and satisfy the supersymmetry algebra

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0 = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\} \ , \ \{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\} = \sigma^m_{\alpha\dot\beta} \, P_m \, \mathbf{1} \ , \tag{66}$$

and the Weyl equation ($\bar\sigma^0 = \sigma^0, \bar\sigma^i = -\sigma^i$)

$$\bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}(p) \bar\sigma^{m\,\dot\beta\alpha} p_m = 0 \ , \ p_m \bar\sigma^{m\,\dot\beta\alpha} Q_\alpha(p) = 0 \ . \tag{67}$$

They are analytic in $\mathcal{U}_N$ as $(|p| + p_z)^{\pm 1/2}$ is analytic there. On the negative 3-axis $\mathcal{A}_-$ their phase is discontinuous $(p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y)/\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}\sqrt{|\vec p| - p_z}$ (39).

As (Q_1, Q_2) is proportional to the second column of n_p (36) Q_α satisfy (40)

$$D_\alpha{}^\beta Q_\beta(p) = Q_\alpha(\Lambda p) \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\delta(\Lambda,p)/2} \tag{68}$$

where $D(\Lambda)$ is the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ representation of Λ and $\delta(\Lambda, p)$ the Wigner angle. This property, the induced transformation of helicity states

$$(U_\Lambda \Psi)(\Lambda p) = \Psi(p) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}h\delta} \ , \tag{69}$$

and that Q_α is a multiplicative operator allow to simplify

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^{-1\,\beta}(Q_\beta U_\Lambda \Psi)(\Lambda p) &= D_\alpha^{-1\,\beta} Q_\beta(\Lambda p) \, (U_\Lambda \Psi)(\Lambda p) \\ &= Q_\alpha(p) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\delta/2} \Psi(p) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}h\delta} \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

and show (reading (69) for helicity $h + 1/2$ backwards)

$$D_\alpha^{-1\beta}(Q_\beta U_\Lambda \Psi)(\Lambda p) = (U_\Lambda Q_\alpha \Psi)(\Lambda p) \ . \tag{71}$$

So Q_α are the components of a $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ spinor ($\bar D$ is the conjugate representation),

$$U_\Lambda Q_\alpha U_\Lambda^{-1} = D_\alpha^{-1\beta} Q_\beta \ , \ U_\Lambda \bar{Q}_{\dot\alpha} U_\Lambda^{-1} = \bar{D}_{\dot\alpha}^{-1\,\dot\beta} \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta} \ , \tag{72}$$

and carry helicity 1/2.

The supersymmetry generators map analytic sections with helicities $h + 1/2$ and h to each other as they provide the relative phase $\mathrm{e}^{\pm\mathrm{i}\varphi}$, or a factor $\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}$ which vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_-$, to make $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(2h+1)\varphi}(Q\Psi)_+$ and $\mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}h\varphi}(Q\Psi)_h$ analytic in $\mathcal{U}_S$.

In southern coordinates the generators of supersymmetry are related to (64) by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\alpha\,S}(p) &= \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} Q_\alpha(p) \qquad\quad , \ \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta\,S}(p) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\varphi} \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}(p) \ , \\ Q_{1\,S}(p) &= -\sqrt{|\vec p| - p_z}\, a^\dagger \quad , \ Q_{2\,S}(p) = \frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| - p_z}} \, a^\dagger \ , \\ \bar{Q}_{\dot 1\,S}(p) &= -\sqrt{|\vec p| - p_z}\, a \quad , \ \bar{Q}_{\dot 2\,S}(p) = \frac{p_x - \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| - p_z}} \, a \ . \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

[3] With a matrix representation of several fermionic creation and annihilation operators $a_i^\dagger$ and a_j, $\{a_i, a_j\} = 0$, $\{a_i^\dagger, a_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, one obtains the generators $Q_{\alpha\,i}, \bar{Q}_{\bar\alpha\,j}$ of extended supersymmetry.

With help of the supersymmetry generators we specify a current j^m of charge q

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | j^m(0) \Psi \rangle &= \int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \, \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p' \ \Phi^*(p) \, j^m(p,p') \ \Psi(p') \ , \\ j^m(p,p') &= \bar\sigma^{m\,\dot\beta\alpha} \Big(Q_\alpha(p) \, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}(p') + \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}(p) \, Q_\alpha(p') \Big) f \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where f denotes a function of $(p-p')^2$ with $f(0) = q$. The current is conserved, $0 = p'_m j^m(p', p) = p_m j^m(p', p)$ (67). The integral of the density $j^0(x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}P\,x} \, j^0(0) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}Px}$ yields the charge q,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \, \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p' \, \mathrm{d}^3x \ \Phi^*(p) \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(p-p')x} \, j^0(p,p') \, \Psi(p') \\ &\qquad = \int \tilde{\mathrm{d}}p \, \frac{j^0(p,p)}{2p^0} \, \Phi^*(p) \, \Psi(p) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

because the supersymmetry algebra $\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}\} = P_m \sigma^m_{\alpha\dot\beta}$ implies $j^m(p,p) = 2qp^m$.

The multiplet with $h + 1/2$ is auxiliary, because only the h-h-components of the 2×2 matrices $Q_\alpha \bar{Q}_{\dot\beta}$ are needed for the current. Explicitly the 4 components of $j^m(p,p')/f((p-p')^2)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}} \, \frac{p'_x - \mathrm{i}p'_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z}} + \sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}\, \sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z} \ , \\ &\frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}} \, \sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z} + \sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z} \, \frac{p'_x - \mathrm{i}p'_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z}} \ , \\ -\mathrm{i}\,&\frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}} \, \sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z} + \mathrm{i}\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z} \, \frac{p'_x - \mathrm{i}p'_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z}} \ , \\ -&\frac{p_x + \mathrm{i}p_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}} \, \frac{p'_x - \mathrm{i}p'_y}{\sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z}} + \sqrt{|\vec p| + p_z}\, \sqrt{|\vec p'| + p'_z} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

allowing to check explicitly $j^m(p,p) = 2q\,p^m$ and the conservation $0 = p_m j^m(p,p') = p'_m j^m(p,p')$. Neither the supersymmetry generators nor the current j^m depend on the value h of the helicity. There is no obstruction to conserved currents with nonvanishing matrix elements in states with arbitrary helicity.

Massless states do not allow hermitean spatial position operators X^i which generate commuting translations of the spatial momentum [11, 12]. By the Stone von Neumann theorem [13] they act analytically on the subspace of square integrable analytic functions of $\mathbb{R}^3$. This space is not mapped to itself by Lorentz transformations. They act analytically on analytic sections over $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. So there is no common dense and invariant subspace of analytic states on which Lorentz transformations and translations of momentum can be expanded and on which the generators can be applied repeatedly.

For $h \neq 0$, the partial derivatives of of Ψ_N and Ψ_S are not in the Hilbert space of square integrable functions as the derivation of (45) shows. Well defined are the covariant

derivatives $D_N = \partial + A_N$ and $D_S = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\,h\,\varphi}\, D_N \mathrm{e}^{-2\mathrm{i}\,h\,\varphi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_N &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{p_x} \\ \partial_{p_y} \\ \partial_{p_z} \end{pmatrix}_N - \frac{\mathrm{i}\,h}{|\vec{p}|(|\vec{p}| + p_z)} \begin{pmatrix} p_y \\ -p_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ D_S &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{p_x} \\ \partial_{p_y} \\ \partial_{p_z} \end{pmatrix}_S + \frac{\mathrm{i}\,h}{|\vec{p}|(|\vec{p}| - p_z)} \begin{pmatrix} p_y \\ -p_x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

But their commutator yields the field strength of a momentum space monopole of charge h at $p = 0$,

$$[D_i, D_j] = F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i = \mathrm{i}\,h\,\varepsilon_{ijk} \frac{p^k}{|\vec{p}|^3} \ . \tag{78}$$

The integral over $\mathbb{F} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}\,p^i\,\mathrm{d}\,p^j F_{ij}$ over each surface $\mathcal{S}$ which encloses the origin

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{F} = \mathrm{i}\,h \tag{79}$$

is invariant under each differentiable change of the connection A, the multiplicative part of the covariant derivative, as the Euler derivative of $\mathbb{F}(A) = \mathrm{d}\,A$ with respect to A vanishes. This is why no such change can make two components of the covariant derivatives commute.

I gratefully acknowledge stimulating discussions with Domenico Giulini, Florian Oppermann, Elmar Schrohe and Olaf Lechtenfeld.

References

[1] Eugene P. Wigner, On unitary representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group, Annals of Mathematics, 40 (1939) 149 – 204

[2] George W. Mackey, Induced Representations of Locally Compact Groups I, Annals of Mathematics, 55 (1952) 101–139; Induced Representations of Locally Compact Groups II, Annals of Mathematics, 58 (1953) 193–221; Induced Representations of Groups and Quantum Mechanics, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1968

[3] Norbert Dragon, The Geometry of Special Relativity – a Concise Course, Springer, Heidelberg, 2012

[4] Abraham A. Ungar, Beyond the Einstein Addition Law and its Gyroscopic Thomas Precession, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001

[5] Roman U. Sexl and Helmuth K. Urbantke, Relativity, Groups, Particles, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 2001

[6] Alan J. Macfarlane, On the Restricted Lorentz Group and Groups Homomorphically Related to It, J. Math. Phys. 3 (1962) 1116–1129

[7] Raoul Bott and John Milnor, On the parallelizability of the spheres, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1958) 87–89, `http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.bams/1183522319`

[8] David A. Richter, `http://homepages.wmich.edu/~drichter/hopffibration.htm`

[9] Moshé Flato, Christian Fronsdal and Daniel Sternheimer, Difficulties with massless particles?, Comm. Math. Phys. 90 (1983) 563–573

[10] Steven Weinberg and Edward Witten, Limits on Massless Particles, Phys. Lett. 96B (1980) 59–62

[11] Theodore D. Newton and Eugene P. Wigner, Localized States for Elementary Systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21 (1949) 400–406

[12] Arthur S. Wightman, On the Localizability of Quantum Mechanical Systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962) 845–872

[13] John von Neumann, Die Eindeutigkeit der Schrödingerschen Operatoren, Mathematische Annalen 104 (1931) 570–578